

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | . [| FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|-----------------|---------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| 10/689,785 | | 10/21/2003 | William K. Dail | 060507-1054 | 060507-1054 7567 | | |
| 26371 | 7590 | 01/25/2006 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | | |
| FOLEY & | | | JIANG, CH | JIANG, CHEN WEN | | | |
| SUITE 380 | | SIN AVENUE | | ART UNIT | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | | |
| MILWAU | KEE, WI | 53202-5308 | | 3744 | 3744 | | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 01/25/2006 | 5 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|------|--|--|--|--|
| | | 10/689,785 | DAIL, WILLIAM K. | | | | | |
| Office A | ction Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | | Chen-Wen Jiang | 3744 | | | | | |
| The MAILIN Period for Reply | G DATE of this communication | appears on the cover sheet with | the correspondence address | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED S | | PLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MOR | . , | 5, | | | | |
| Extensions of time may after SIX (6) MONTHS f If NO period for reply is Failure to reply within th Any reply received by th | be available under the provisions of 37 CFF rom the mailing date of this communication specified above, the maximum statutory pe e set or extended period for reply will, by st | B DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH atute, cause the application to become ABAN ailing date of this communication, even if time | y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this communicatio IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | on. | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive | o communication(s) filed on <u>0.</u> | 3 November 2005. | | | | | | |
| | ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this ap | | | | | | | | |
| closed in acc | ordance with the practice und | er <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 | 1, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | ;- | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> | I)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12 and 14-43</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the ab | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) | | | - | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) <u>1-12,14-32 and 34-43</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>33</u> i | • | d/or algetion requirement | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) | are subject to restriction an | a/or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | | |
| 9)□ The specifica | tion is objected to by the Exam | niner. | • | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(| s) filed on <u>21 October 2003</u> is/ | are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obje | ected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may | not request that any objection to | the drawing(s) be held in abeyance | . See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| · | - ', | rection is required if the drawing(s) | - | (d). | | | | |
| 11)[_] The oath or d | eclaration is objected to by the | Examiner. Note the attached C | Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S. | C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| | nent is made of a claim for fore Some * c)□ None of: | ign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1 | 19(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | |
| 1.☐ Certifie | ed copies of the priority docum | ents have been received. | | | | | | |
| | | ents have been received in App | | | | | | |
| _ , | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | priority documents have been re | ceived in this National Stage | | | | | |
| • • | ation from the International Bui | • | anima d | | | | | |
| See the attach | ed detailed Office action for a | list of the certified copies not re | ceived. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References | | 4) Interview Sun | | | | | | |
| | n's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) e Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB | | Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | |
| S. Datast and Trademed. Office | | | | | | | | |

Art Unit: 3744

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. The amendments and arguments presented by the applicant have been duly noted. However, an update search and further review of the prior art of record has prompted the presentation of new rejections presented below. In view of such, the previous rejections in the first office action have been withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. Claims 1,2,4-9,24-32,34-37 and 39-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Staples et al. (U.S. Patent Number 4,344,296) in view of Rutishauser et al. (U.S. Patent Number 3,210,957).

In regard to claims 1,2,4-7,24,27-32,34-37 and 39-43 Staples et al. disclose an efficient second stage cooling system. Referring to Fig.1, the system comprises a refrigeration device with

Application/Control Number: 10/689,785

Art Unit: 3744

compressor 8, condenser 14, expansion device 18 and evaporator 22, a cooling system with conduits 30,32 and second refrigerant, heat exchanger 4 within the storage area 2 and heat exchanger 24. However, Staples et al. does not disclose modular unit. Rutishauser et al. discloses modular heat exchanger unit 12 with different capacity can be inserted or removed in the same field of endeavor for the purpose of cooling requirement. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the apparatus of Staples et al. with a modular unit in view of Rutishauser et al. so as to arrange the cooling requirement.

In regard to claims 8,9,25 and 26, the location of the modular element is a design choice.

Under the principals of inherency, if a prior art device, in its normal and usual operation, would necessarily perform the method claimed, then the method claimed will be considered to be anticipated by the prior art device. When the prior art device is the same as a device described in the specification for carrying out the claimed method, it can be assumed the device will inherently perform the claimed process. *Ir re King*, 801 F.2d 1324, 231 USPQ 136 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

4. Claims 3 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Staples et al. and Rutishauser et al. as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Wolff et al. (U.S. Patent Number 5,924,297).

In regard to claim 3, Wolff et al. disclose that there has been development work in utilizing non-compressible liquid chemical coolants (so called "glycol-type" systems using glycol or ethylene solutions or some other secondary heat transfer liquid) with control valves for producing the merchandiser cooling effect.

Art Unit: 3744

5. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Staples et al. and Rutishauser et al. as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Norton (U.S. Patent Number 4,501,126) or Morrison et al. (U.S. Patent Number 4,493,010).

Staples et al. and Rutishauser et al. disclose the invention substantially as claimed. However, Staples et al. and Rutishauser et al. do not disclose quick disconnect device. Norton and Morrison et al. disclose quick disconnect device in the same field of endeavor for the purpose of connect/disconnect evaporator. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the apparatus of Staples et al. and Rutishauser et al. with a quick disconnect device in view of Norton or Morrison et al. so as to have quick connection.

6. Claims 12 and 14-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Staples et al. and Rutishauser et al. in view of Wolff et al. (U.S. Patent Number 5,924,297).

In regard to claims 12,14-18 and 21, Referring to Fig. 1, of Staples et al., the system comprises a refrigeration device with compressor 8, condenser 14, expansion device 18 and evaporator 22, a cooling system with conduits 30,32 and second refrigerant, heat exchanger 4 within the storage area 2 and heat exchanger 24. Rutishauser et al. discloses modular heat exchanger unit 12 with different capacity can be inserted or removed in the same field of endeavor for the purpose of cooling requirement. Wolff et al. disclose that there has been development work in utilizing non-compressible liquid chemical coolants (so called "glycoltype" systems using glycol or ethylene solutions or some other secondary heat transfer liquid) with control valves for producing the merchandiser cooling effect.

In regard to claims 19 and 20, the location of the modular element is a design choice.

Art Unit: 3744

In regard to claim 22, the fin-coil heat exchanger is an existing heat exchanger in the prior art.

Page 5

7. Claim 38 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Staples et al. and Rutishauser et al. in view of Wolff et al. (U.S. Patent Number 5,924,297).

Wolff et al. disclose that there has been development work in utilizing non-compressible liquid chemical coolants (so called "glycol-type" systems using glycol or ethylene solutions or some other secondary heat transfer liquid) with control valves for producing the merchandiser cooling effect.

8. Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Staples et al., Rutishauser et al. and Wolff et al. as applied to claim 12 above, and further in view of Wolff et al. as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Norton (U.S. Patent Number 4,501,126) or Morrison et al. (U.S. Patent Number 4,493,010).

Staples et al., Rutishauser et al. and Wolff et al. disclose the invention substantially as claimed. However, Staples et al., Rutishauser et al. and Wolff et al. do not disclose quick disconnect device. Norton and Morrison et al. disclose quick disconnect device in the same field of endeavor for the purpose of connect/disconnect evaporator. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the apparatus of Staples et al., Rutishauser et al. and Wolff et al. with a quick disconnect device in view of Norton or Morrison et al. so as to have quick connection.

Application/Control Number: 10/689,785 Page 6

Art Unit: 3744

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claim 33 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chen-Wen Jiang whose telephone number is (571) 272-4809. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00 to 6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl Tyler can be reached on (571) 272-4834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Chen-Wen Jiang
Primary Examiner

